

Capital City News

Department of Interior Informs Council That Rents Are Owing; Gas Leases Might Be Cancelled

Busted That Company Paid No Work in Four Year of Tenancy and No Work Done—Council Is Asked If It Supports Application That Expenditures in Current Year Be Accepted in Lieu of Rent For Past Year.

A communication which should have a vital effect upon the relations between the city and the gas companies has been sent to the legislature for the cancellation of the bill which was presented to the assembly by the mayor last Tuesday night.

The letter was from the superintendent of interior mines, containing lands and Yukon branch, and was addressed to the oil and gas branch, who had been told the gas leases were held in cancellation. From the letter it appears that the oil and gas branch now have, to a large extent, the power in their hands in further dealing with the company.

The letter was not on the agenda as it only arrived late Tuesday, and no action was taken. The gas structures were given for copies to be made and sent out to the aldermen.

Letter from Department

"Dear Sirs:—I enclose a copy of the Department of interior mining lands and Yukon branch, Ottawa, March 19, 1921.

"I beg to remind you that under the order-in-council of January 1917, the original order of the departmental committee was that the available portion of the natural gas rights, the property of the crown, in two areas, the west end of the 4th, 15, 16, 17 and 18, west of the 4th and 5th, and the area of the city of Edmonton, and applications were subsequently made on behalf of the city and the oil and gas branch, available rights containing an area of 1,000 acres, for a period of one year, at the rate of \$2 per cent per acre, having been paid.

Letters from the city of Edmonton bearing date for the month past, and also from the oil and gas branch, and these leases were awarded, and the leases were awarded to the Northern Alberta Natural Gas Development Ltd., which company appears to have obtained the right to supply natural gas to the city.

The application was accepted subject to the condition that the right of the city of Edmonton to a supply of natural gas should be described in the lease above, at the rate of \$2 per cent per acre, and prior and paramount to the right of any or other consumers outside the city of Edmonton, and shall not be greater than those amounts contained in the original provisions of the order-in-council, or the leases issued in accordance therewith.

Evidence was submitted to this departmental committee that the operations had been carried on in question based on the locations in question, and had been incurred in actual ground operations. The operations were accepted. In fact, one group, one group for each well, and the same group for each lease, the operations was accepted in satisfaction of the rents for the second and third years, and the leases issued in accordance with the provisions of the order-in-council up to the 14th of February, 1920.

Gasoline Committee

It had been reported to the departmental committee that while the company has done a great deal of work, it is not possible to deliver the gas at the present time, and the company would not appear to have had any additional funds available to meet the term of the lease, the rental of which for the year came due on the 14th of February, 1920.

Application had been made to the departmental committee to extend the lease up to the 1st of February next, and the extension was granted for the fourth year, and that the extension was accepted, and the holding on the locations in question was accepted for the fourth year, as well as for the fifth year, as well as for the sixth year, and the location is now in arrears since the 14th of February, 1920, so that the leases remain in the right of cancellation. It would

Murray to place evidence before the committee.

It was suggested that the matter be referred back to committee, but Ald. McLean said he did not think it was necessary if such was the case. He had thought of this, during reference back.

Subsequently, however, it was decided that the matter should go back to the committee.

Kidnapping Grounds

The very interesting Kidnapping Association taking over the control of the schools buildings at the head of the year, and the school board, and committees for further consideration, owing to the fact that the school board is incorporated.

Mr. J. H. Thompson and Richards stated their objection to the proposed to erect a fence across the track.

Alfred Farnell Co.

A report was submitted by the city engineer, Mr. W. A. Ross, to the department, warning, however, to the teachers campaign to inform the people of the town, and get public opinion behind the movement.

The penalty for the working of the land development and regeneration units was not to exceed \$100, and the amount of the fine may be accepted in satisfaction of the fines during the fourth year not payment being made, and the fine may be accepted as no expenses.

The fine was to be paid to the city, and was subject to cancellation.

John A. McDougall's

SUIT ON MORTGAGE AWAITING JUDGMENT

Judgment was reserved in the supreme court civil庭 on Tuesday afternoon by Mr. Justice Scott in the case of John A. McDougall, plaintiff, vs. George McKay and the Edmonton Cement Company, defendants.

The suit was brought on a note of \$100,000, in the police station, sanctioned by the court, and the judgment was for the balance of an unpaid mortgage since 1916.

On the 5th of that year it appears that the plaintiff loaned to the defendant the sum of \$100,000, and the debt at 8 per cent interest, and the note was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

Under the terms of the note, the debt was to be paid in four installments.

At the end of the first year, the defendant had not paid the debt, and the plaintiff

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the debt was to be paid in full at the end of three years.

At the end of the first year, the defendant

had to sue for the amount.

After the suit was filed, the defendant

paid \$100 per month.

Mr. Justice Scott, in his judgment, ruled that the

Store Closes
Saturdays
At 1 p.m.

Store Closes
Saturdays
At 1 p.m.

Johnstone Walker's Wednesday Bargain News

Price Reductions Amounting to Hundreds of Dollars

Will Be Shared By Those Who Shop Here on Wednesday

There has never been a time such generous price reductions on goods that are reasonable and of best quality are more to be appreciated than just now with spring needs in pressing demand everywhere. The news on this page gives the store low prices on offerings which we do not hesitate to say will prove of the greatest importance in homes studying to spend money to best advantage. Go over every item carefully, make a note of your requirements and plan to be here when the store opens.

Women's Plaid Gingham House Dresses at \$2.98



Right at a time when most women are beginning to feel the need of new morning dresses, comes an opportunity to buy them at less than cost of making. They are the "quick-to-sell-into" style of extra good quality plaid gingham, fashioned in straight style with square neck and short sleeves and finished at waist with sash, belt and ties. Sizes 36 to 44. Wednesday Bargain

\$2.98

Women's Fine Knitted Pink Bloomers at 59c

Women will scarcely be able to believe their own eyes when they see these splendid bloomers under a 59c Bargain ticket Wednesday.

And the temptingly low price is only explained by the fact that they are a special purchase lot.

Of an exceptionally fine knit in pink only, with elastic at waist and knee. Sizes 36 to 40. Wednesday Bargain

59c

Women's Serviceable Good Fitting Corsets at \$1.49

A real bargain in every sense of the word. Of good quality white corset, has medium bust and medium length skirt from plastic hose supporters and is in all sizes. Sizes 29 to 34. Wednesday Bargain

\$1.49

4-Ply Scotch Finger-ing Yarns at \$3.50 lb.

Scores of women now planning to knit spring sweaters, etc., will well come an opportunity to save \$1.30 per lb. on this splendid yarn.

J. & J. BALDWIN'S 4-ply "White Heather" Scotch fingering yarns are of excellent quality. Colors white, light grey, dark grey and black. Regularly \$4.80. Wednesday Bargain per lb.

For 2 oz. skeins. Wednesday Bargain **45c**

per lb. or \$3.50.

A Special Purchase of 40 Dozen Boys' Wool Jerseys Reg. to \$3.50. Wednesday at \$1.50

Here's Bargain News that will bring boys helter-skelter from all sections of the city for both mothers and their sons know pure wool jerseys, such as these, would ordinarily sell about \$3.50 each.

But having been bought at a special price, out they go as a Wednesday Bargain at \$1.50.

They are in nice spring weights, specially suitable for sports, sun and swimming, outdoor sports, of fine worsted wool yarns in combination club colors: Navy with maroon, grey with grey, black with maroon, grey with brown with maroon. Sizes 22 to 32. Wednesday Bargain **\$1.50**

(Art Needlework Dept.—Second Floor)

Children's \$1.75 Stamped Dresses, Etc., at \$1.19

An exceptional opportunity for mothers who are gifted in the art of embroidery, to transform inexpensive little stamped dresses, rompers and aprons into priceless gems of daintiness.

Of fine pig in tan, rose, sand and jade. Stamped in many designs. Sizes for 1 to 2 years and 3 and 4 years. Regularly \$1.80 and \$1.75 each. Wed.nesday Bargain

\$1.19

(Art Needlework Dept.—Second Floor)

Women's 75c Black Lisle Thread Hose at 59c a Pair

And what makes the bargain at the more attractive is the fact that these hose are the well-known "Mercury" brand that women declare wear twice as long as any makes.

Of a splendid weaving quality. Fine thread made in permanent colors. Fashioned and with widened points at toe and heel. Sizes 5 to 16. Regularly 75c. Wednesday Bargain per pair

59c

Women's \$2.50 Purse and Handbags Bargain Priced at \$1.39

Something for every woman discerners she must have at this season to "go with" the new spring tailleur.

Or get a really good black one in a great variety of styles with "top" or back straps. Small and medium sizes. Regular \$2.50 value.

Wednesday Bargain **\$1.39**

Lovely Embroidered Tea Cloths and Runners, \$1.50

Women will instantly recognize these goods as being identical with the qualities for which they usually pay \$2.75 and \$3.00.

And this is the price we would have to ask you except for the fact that they are a special purchase lot picked up by our lines and staples buyer in the East a few weeks ago.

6 Doses \$3.00 Afternoon Teacloths at \$1.50

Tan cloths any woman would be proud to possess. Of fine quality sea island cotton with linen finish, beautifully embroidered in four corners. Sizes 36x36 inches. Regular \$3.00 value.

Wednesday Bargain **\$1.50**

5 Doses \$3.00 Embroidered Runners at \$1.50

Just wish we had double the number of them. Same quality as above tea cloths. Effectively embroidered on the ends. Sizes 36x14 inches. Regular \$3.00 value.

Wednesday Bargain **\$1.50**

Well, I Do Declare--What Do You Know About This!

NEW SPRING SUITS AT \$30.00

Who ever dreamt of such a thing this season? Why, the majority of women will almost have to see them to believe it!

Tailored with all the style and quality women were able to obtain last season at \$40.00 and \$45.00.

Tailored of fine, light-colored gabardine in shades of grey, navy, grey-green and navy-blue. All with belt held by roll or notch collar, smart pockets, trimmed with narrow military braid, cable stitching and buttons. Plain shirred back skirts finished with narrow belt. All sizes. Wednesday Bargain Price

\$30.00

WOMEN'S TAFFETA UNDERSKIRTS AT \$1.50

Dressy and serviceable underskirts of that popular fabric called "taffeta," with shirred and pleated blouse and elastic waist band. Colors are paddy, navy and black. Assorted lengths.

Wednesday Bargain **\$1.50**



A Bargain in Frocks

That Will Be Appreciated By Those Attending This Week's Convention

Nothing would warrant such drastic price revision on such pretty frocks as these, except that the majority of them constitute special purchase models; and discerning women will not think any the less of them on this account, especially our out-of-town clientele, attending this week's convention.

They are expressive of the new spring mode: of fine wool serge and silk taffeta, in the straight line model, prettily embroidered in colors, also the bolero styles with short side panels edged with narrow ruffles, with bodice trimmed with silk embroidered embellishments. Colors taupe, brown, blues and black. Sizes 18 to 40. Wednesday Bargain

\$16.95

Man Alive! Why Pay \$10 to \$12 for Boots You Can Get at Johnstone Walker's for \$6.45

We know there are scores of stores in town that sell men's boots at \$10.00 to \$12.00.

But here's a chance to get men's boots at \$6.45. That's right, \$6.45. And that's a Wednesday Bargain at \$6.45. Think it over!

Shows in several styles to fit all feet, from 10 to 12, preference, and to fit different widths. Get them at Johnstone Walker's.



FRIDAY, SATURDAY

MATINEES DAILY
SPECIAL RETURN
ENGAGEMENTAND PLAYING TO THE CAPACITY
ASK SOMEONE WHO HAS
SEEN ITEIGHT REELS OF HUMAN
PATIOS AND LOVELY
COMEDYTHE WORLD'S SWEEETHEART
MORE UNRESISTABLE
THAN EVER!A PICTURE WHERE THERE
IS A TEAR AS WELL AS
A SMILEEMPIRE CONCERT
ORCHESTRAPerformances: Mat. 2:30, 4:15;
Even. 7:30, 9:15. Pictures Mat.
10:15, 11:15. Evenings, 2:30, 3:45,
5:00, 7:45.Another big treat
for our patronsMARY
PICKFORD

IN

THE LOVE LIGHT

Written and directed by Francis X. Neary
Photographed by Charles Rosher
Music by George C. CooperThis picture
believe to be
the greatest success
Miss Pickford has
ever made

SCREEN

MONARCH: Rock Jones in "The Love Light"

EMPIRE: Thomas Meighan in "The Last of the Mohicans"

PRINCESS: Katharine McDonald in "Curtain"

ALLEN: Allen in "Allen in His House" as all
can seeREGENT: Currys Myers in
"Beautifully Trimmed."Three Nights, Commencing
Tuesday, March 27. Saturday
Matinee. Phone 2185.AUGUSTUS PITOU, INC.
PresentsMAY ROBSON
IN A NEW COMEDY

NOBODY'S FOOL

MAIL ORDER NOW BY ALAN DALE

MAIL ORDER SALE NOW OPEN

EVENING PRICES MATINEE

Orchestra \$1.50
Next 2 rows \$1.00

First 2 rows \$1.00

Next 2 rows \$1.00

Second 2 rows \$1.00

Next 2 rows \$1.00

Dress \$1.00

Box Seats \$1.00

Dress \$1.00

